01 MODEL COMPETITIVE MAP

The model competitive map

Right means closer to Drako's tasks. Up means more public evidence of access, tests and use.



Unranked: Drako AI / AMI Labs

Analyst evidence scores, not accuracy. 75 is a guide, not a frontier threshold.

What the map says

TwelveLabs is a direct baseline for video plus metadata. Cosmos supplies a broad open model family. Gemini combines video with general reasoning. World simulators and action models are adjacent research rivals. [20,64,73]

What Drako must earn

Prove better understanding of observed places over time, useful prediction and lower cost. Access to a large live feed is a starting asset; it is not a model result.

Original assessment, not Gartner research. Twelve selected programs; this is not an accuracy, valuation or market-share ranking. Full scale: 0–100. The 75 guides mean an average rating of 3/4, not a frontier threshold. Differences under 5 points are not meaningful. The two scorecards explain the factors and sources.

Sources [20] Marengo [64] Gemini [73] Cosmos 3 [99] AMI research

02 TASK FIT

Fit for Drako's tasks

How closely does each model address the work Drako wants to do? This sets its left-to-right position on the map.

Read across a row for one model. Read down a column to compare the same task.

Rating key: 0 no public support found · 1 limited · 2 some · 3 substantial · 4 strongest evidence under that factor's criteria.

Model / sources	Understands video 30%	Tracks events over time 25%	Uses records or actions 20%	Predicts what comes next 15%	Adapts to new tasks 10%	Task fit out of 100
01 TwelveLabs [20,63]	4	3	4	0	2	74
02 Google Gemini [64,67]	4	3	3	1	2	73
03 Google Genie [70,71]	1	2	2	3	1	44
04 NVIDIA Cosmos [73,74]	4	3	3	4	4	89
05 Meta V-JEPA [79,23]	3	2	3	3	4	71
06 General Intuition / MIRA [81,82]	1	3	3	3	3	60
07 World Labs / Atlas [83,84]	2	3	3	3	1	63
08 Runway GWM [87]	1	3	3	3	1	55
09 Runway Praxis [89,91]	2	2	3	4	4	68
10 Odyssey-3 [93,78]	2	3	3	4	2	69
11 Ambient Pulsar [9,96]	3	3	3	1	1	63
12 Milestone Hafnia [97,14]	3	2	1	0	3	48

What to take from this

TwelveLabs scores strongly on interpreting video and joining records. Cosmos spans more prediction and adaptation tasks. A single total can hide those differences.

What the numbers mean

The percentages show how much each factor contributes. The total converts the weighted 0–4 ratings to a 100-point scale. It is **not a percentage of correct answers**.

Analyst judgments from public evidence as of 27 November 2026, not measured model accuracy. A low score can mean limited disclosure. Drako and AMI remain unranked.

03 PUBLIC PROOF

Public proof behind the models

What can outsiders use, test or inspect? This sets each model's bottom-to-top position on the map.

These five factors assess the public evidence behind the model. They do not score how accurately it performs Drako's job.

Rating key: 0 no public support found · 1 limited · 2 some · 3 substantial · 4 strongest evidence under that factor's criteria.

Model / sources	Available to use 30%	Published tests 25%	Outside users 20%	Methods explained 15%	Practical to run 10%	Public proof out of 100
01 TwelveLabs [20,63]	4	3	3	2	3	79
02 Google Gemini [64,67]	4	3	2	2	3	74
03 Google Genie [70,71]	4	1	2	1	3	58
04 NVIDIA Cosmos [73,74]	4	4	2	4	4	90
05 Meta V-JEPA [79,23]	4	3	1	4	3	76
06 General Intuition / MIRA [81,82]	3	3	1	4	3	69
07 World Labs / Atlas [83,84]	4	2	1	2	3	63
08 Runway GWM [87]	1	2	0	3	2	36
09 Runway Praxis [89,91]	4	3	2	4	3	81
10 Odyssey-3 [93,78]	3	4	2	2	3	73
11 Ambient Pulsar [9,96]	3	1	2	1	3	50
12 Milestone Hafnia [97,14]	3	2	1	3	2	56

What to take from this

A model can be relevant to Drako's work while offering limited public access or testing detail. That is why the map keeps task fit and public proof separate.

Use the scores with care

API access, downloadable weights, previews and embedded products have different limits. A high score does not establish deployment quality on Drako's data. Differences under five total points are not meaningful.

Totals use the header weights, sum weight × rating ÷ 4, and are rounded here; the map uses exact values. Public source coverage is broad for the listed programs except Pulsar, whose exact model details rely mainly on company claims. Low disclosure does not prove weak capability. Drako and AMI remain unranked.